NOTES ON THE NOMENCLATURE OF CORNUS 1

I. K. FERGUSON

During the preparation of an account of the Cornaceae for a generic flora of the southeastern United States it was found that the genus Cornus has been delimited in different ways by various workers, some accepting the genus in a broad sense and dividing it into subgenera and sections, others segregating these groups as distinct genera. As a result of these quite varied taxonomic treatments the nomenclature of the sections, subgenera, and segregate genera has become very confused. The purpose of this paper was to try to find the correct names at different ranks for the various categories that have been recognized and to bring these names together with some of their relevant synonymy.

The characters used by most workers for subdividing *Cornus* are mainly those of the inflorescence, and there appears to be little other supporting evidence. These characters do not seem to be sufficient for recognizing segregate genera, and in this work the subdivisions of *Cornus* are treated as subgenera. No taxonomic revision is proposed here, and the treatment of Wangerin (Pflanzenreich IV. 229(Heft 41). 1910), who recognized seven subgenera within *Cornus*, is closely followed. However, *C. alternifolia* L. and *C. controversa* Hemsl., with alternate leaves and pitted stones,

are separated as an additional subgenus, Mesomora Raf.

The genus Cornus as established by Linnaeus comprised five species: C. mas, C. suecica, C. canadensis, C. sanguinea, and C. florida. Hill (Brit. Herbal 331. 1756) established the genus Chamaepericlymenum, and, though he did not describe any species, he refers to only one, Cornus suecica L. Chamaepericylmenum must be based on this species. Some recent workers, including Warburg (in Clapham, Tutin & Warburg, Fl. Brit. Isles, ed. 2. 493. 1962) and Pojarkova (in Komarov, Fl. URSS 17: 324. 1951), maintain this genus for the herbaceous species of Cornus.

Dumortier (Florula Belgica 83. 1827) recognized two sections in Cornus: Thelycrania, based on C. alba L. and C. sanguinea L., and Cornotypus, based on Cornus "nudiflora = Mas, L." This latter division may

be considered as effectively typifying the genus.

In 1830, Lindley (Nat. Syst. Bot., ed. 1. 241) mentioned *Benthamia* incidentally and without a description as a genus in the Boraginaceae. Later (Bot. Reg. tab. 1579, 1833) he formally established *Benthamia*,

¹Continuing a series of miscellaneous notes and papers on the flora of the scutheastern United States made possible through the support of George R. Cooley and a grant from the National Science Foundation. I am indebted to Dr. Carroll E. Wood, Jr., Dr. George K. Brizicky, and Dr. Rolla M. Tryon for aid and valuable advice; and to Dr. P. W. Ball, of Flora Europaea, for drawing my attention to the place of publication of Swida Opiz.

based on Cornus capitata Wall., as a genus in the Cornaceae. Unfortunately, this name had been validly published in 1828 by Richard as the name for a genus of orchids. Benthamia Lindl. is thus a later homonym.

Later, Rafinesque (Alsograph. Am. 58. 1838) recognized a number of categories within Cornus. The status of some of these has been discussed by various workers (O. A. Farwell, Rhodora 34: 29, 30, 1932, and Torreya 42: 130. 1942; H. W. Rickett, Torreya 42: 11-14, 131. 1942), but without agreement. Rafinesque, on page 58 of his Alsographia, recognizes a genus Cornus with a typical element, Cornus, and two subgenera, Mesomora 2 and Kraniopsis. Under the typical element he includes "most of the American species," as well as C. sanguinea and C. alba. Mesomora includes only C. alternifolia, and in Kraniopsis Rafinesque places C. paniculata L'Hér. (= C. racemosa Lam.) and C. comosa Raf. On the following page he lists three further categories without clearly designating their rank: Eukrania, with C. mas, C. canadensis, and C. suecica; Cynoxylon, comprised of C. florida; and Benthamia Lindl. The names Mesomora and Kraniopsis must clearly be adopted for subgenera, while it appears that Eukrania, Cynoxylon, and Benthamia may be considered to be genera or subgenera.

The evidence available suggests that *Eukrania* should be regarded as a subgenus because, in the account of a new species following his description of *Eukrania*, Rafinesque makes no combinations under *Eukrania* but refers to "Cornus (Eukrania) cyananthus Raf.," commenting that it is "a very curious herbaceous Cornus very near to C. canadensis." Since he included Cornus mas, the type of Dumortier's section Cornotypus, in Eukrania, this name must be treated as a synonym of the typical subgenus.

On the other hand, Benthamia Lindl. would appear to have been intended to be a distinct genus, for Rafinesque cites his own earlier work, Sylva Telluriana (1836), under Benthamia, and in this work he clearly refers to Benthamia Lindl. as a genus and also to his "subgen. Cynoxylon of Med. Fl. of 1828." This latter statement illustrates the uncertainty surrounding the rank of Cynoxylon, since Rafinesque had clearly applied this name to a section of Cornus in the Medical Flora (1828). However, there does not seem to be any evidence to indicate that he intended Cynoxylon to be a distinct genus, and for this reason it is accepted as a subgeneric name here. Merrill (Index Rafinesquianus 184. 1949) has indicated that Rafinesque recognized Cynoxylon as a subgenus of Cornus and Eukrania as a separate genus, but the reasons for this treatment are quite obscure.

² Rafinesque (Alsograph. Am. 58. 1838) in his formal description of subgenera uses the spelling "Mesomera," but later, in his discussion on page 62, he refers to "Mesomora" and "the Mesomoras." Rudbeck (Acta Lit. Suec. 1: 98. 1720) proposed the name Mesomora for the plant that was later known as Cornus suecica L. Mesomora is derived from the Greek, mesos, middle, and maurus, dark, in allusion to the dark-colored flowers in the middle of the white involucre. Linnaeus (Fl. Lapponica 36. 1737, and Fl. Suecica 48. 1755) cites Mesomora in his synonymy of Cornus suecica, and he uses the epithet in Philosophia Botanica (201, 202. 1763) for an informal category in Cornus. It seems that Rafinesque's spelling "Mesomera" should be considered a typographical error.

Opiz (in Berchtold & Opiz, Oekon.-tech. Fl. Böhmens 2: 174–180. 1838) segregated *Cornus alba* and *C. sanguinea* as a separate genus *Swida*. Some later authors (e.g., Pojarkova, Not. Syst. Leningrad 12: 170. 1950), overlooking this valid publication, have considered *Swida* Opiz as a nomen nudum, citing the place of publication as *Seznam Rostlin Kveteny Ceské* (1852), where the name is mentioned without description.

The next author to add to the confusion was Endlicher (Gen. Pl. 798. March, 1839), who recognized three categories of undesignated rank in Cornus: a. Arctocrania, b. Tanycrania, and c. Thelycrania. Since Endlicher makes no statement either in the preface or elsewhere as to what he intended these subdivisions to be, they cannot be taken into consideration. Later in the same year, Spach (Hist. Nat. Vég. 8. October, 1939) described three sections in Cornus (Macrocarpium, Microcarpium, and Cornion) and segregated Cornus florida as the genus Benthamidia. Cornion Spach is the earliest sectional name for the herbaceous species of Cornus. Two years later, Reichenbach (Repert. Herb. 143. 1841) validated Endlicher's Tanycrania and Arctocrania as subgenera, while still later, Ledebour (Fl. Rossica 2: 377, 378. 1844) validated Arctocrania and Tanycrania as sections. Thelycrania, originally published by Dumortier as a section (1827), was raised to generic rank by Fourreau (Ann. Soc. Linn. Lyon II. 16: 394. 1868), who cited only one species, T. sanguinea. He thus selected a lectotype for both the section and the genus. As a genus, however, Thelycrania is antedated by Swida Opiz. Schneider (Illus. Handb. Laubholz. 2: 437. 1909) attributed subg. Thelycrania to Endlicher and subg. Benthamidia to K. Koch, but neither author treated these as formal categories. Instead, Schneider, himself, appears to be the first author to validate the names at the rank of subgenus.

Harms (Nat. Pflanzenfam. III. 8: 266. 1898) described the African Cornus Volkensii and placed it in a separate section Afrocrania. At the same time, he also segregated the Mexican C. disciflora and C. grandis as sect. Discocrania. Wangerin (Pflanzenreich IV. 229(Heft 41). 1910) later raised both to subgeneric rank, and Hutchinson (Ann. Bot. II. 6: 89. 1942) treated Afrocrania as a genus.

In 1906, Rydberg (Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 33: 147) proposed the generic name Cornella for the herbaceous species of Cornus, but this is a superfluous name for Chamaepericlymenum Hill. Nakai later (Bot. Mag. Tokyo 23: 39. 1909) raised Arctocrania Endl. to generic rank, providing

Various spellings have been used for the generic name Swida. The author of the name, Opiz (in Berchtold & Opiz, Oekon.-tech. Fl. Böhmens 2: 174–180. 1838), used Swjda, but later he changed the spelling to Svjda (Opiz, Seznam Rostlin Kveteny Ceské 94. 1852). Small (Fl. SE. U. S. 853. 1903) and other authors have used the form Svida. The name is derived from the Czech common name for Cornus sanguinea, and the differences in spelling are probably attributable to old and modern usage of that language. Following the International Code, the original spelling, Swjda, is the form which must be retained. However, Art. 73. Note 6 of the Code states, "The letters 'j' and 'v' must be changed to 'i' and 'u' respectively when they represent vowels." It is proposed that Swjda be changed to Swida which makes pronunciation easier.

yet another synonym for the same group of herbaceous species. He also raised sect. *Macrocarpium* Spach to generic rank, restricting *Cornus* to the species of Dumortier's section *Thelycrania*, in direct contradiction of

Dumortier's typification of Cornus.

Adding still further to the already formidable nomenclatural problems, Nieuwland & Lunell (Am. Midl. Nat. 4: 487. 1916) adopted two new names for segregate genera of Cornus, attributing both to pre-Linnaean authors without giving descriptions for either genus, a practice neither outlawed nor recommended by the International Code. These authors recognize the genus Mesomora [Rudbeck] Nieuwland & Lunell, which they apply to Cornus canadensis L., as still another synonym for Chamaepericlymenum Hill. Under Ossea Lonicer, both a pre-Linnaean and a post-Linnaean reference are given. The post-Linnaean reference (Adams Lonicers Völlstandiges Kräuter-Buch, B. Ehrhart, ed., with indices by P. Uffenbach. 1783) is an unaltered reprinting of earlier editions of Lonicer, but there is also an Anhang by Ehrhart, published in the same year, in which he comments (p. 23) under Ossea, "Dieses Gewächses rechter lateinischer Name is nur gar zu wohl bekannt, nemlich Cornus foemina, oder Virga sanguinea." Thus it seems that Ehrhart cannot be considered to have accepted Ossea. Since the International Code states "a name is not validly published when it is not accepted by the author who published it," Lonicer's work (1783) cannot be taken as a place of valid publication of the genus Ossea. It must be then attributed to Nieuwland and Lunell (1916). However, Lonicer's description and illustrations are inadequate and do not seem to refer clearly to any species of Cornus, no references are cited, and, as a result, the validity of the name seems doubtful. In the sense of Nieuwland & Lunell, Ossea is a synonym of the genus Swida Opiz.

Other historical items and nomenclatural combinations will be evident from the summary of the nomenclature of the eight subgenera given below. In order to fix the application of the names involved, the type or lectotype species is given for each name. When lectotype species are cited without

an author these have been selected here.

Cornus Linnaeus, Sp. Pl. 1: 117. 1753; Gen. Pl. ed. 5. 1754. (Lectotype species: C. mas L.; see Dumortier, Florula Belgica 83. 1827, and P. A. Rydberg, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 33: 147. 1906.)

Cornus subg. Kraniopsis Rafinesque, Alsograph. Am. 58. 1838. (Lectotype species: C. paniculata L'Héritier = C. racemosa Lamarck.)

Cornus subg. Cornus Rafinesque, ibid. (Lectotype species: C. sanguinea L.)
Cornus sect. Thelycrania Dumortier, Florula Belgica 83. 1827. (Lectotype species: C. sanguinea L.; see Fourreau, Ann. Soc. Linn. Lyon II. 16: 394. 1868.)

Cornus c. Thelycrania Endlicher, Gen. Pl. 798. March, 1839.

Thelycrania (Dumortier) Fourreau, Ann. Soc. Linn. Lyon II. 16: 394. 1868. (Lectotype species: T. sanguinea (L.) Fourreau.)

Cornus subg. Thelycrania (Dumortier) Endlicher ex Schneider, Illus. Handb. Laubholz. 2: 437. 1909.

Cornus sect. Microcarpium Spach, Hist. Nat. Vég. 8: 92. 1839. (Lectotype species: C. sanguinea L.)

Cornus subsect. Amblycaryum Koehne, Gartenflora 45: 286. 1896. (Lectotype

species: C. corynostylis Koehne.)

Cornus sect. Amblycaryum (Koehne) Nakai, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 23: 37. 1909. Swida Opiz in Berchtold & Opiz, Oekon.-tech. Fl. Böhmens 2: 174-180. 1838, "Swida." (Lectotype species: S. sanguinea (L.) Opiz.)

Ossea [Lonicer] Nieuwland & Lunell in Lunell, Am. Midl. Nat. 4: 487. 1916. (Type species: O. instolonea (A. Nelson) Nieuwland & Lunell in Lunell,

Am. Midl. Nat. 4: 487. 1916.)

Cornus subg. Mesomora Rafinesque, Alsograph. Am. 58. 1838. (Type species: C. alternifolia L.)

Cornus sect. Mesomora (Rafinesque) Nakai, Fl. Sylv. Koreana 16: 81. 1927. Cornus sect. Microcarpium subsect. Bothrocaryum Koehne, Gartenflora 45: 285. 1896. (Lectotype species: C. macrophylla Wallich sensu Koehne = C. controversa Hemsley; see Pojarkova, Not. Syst. Leningrad 12: 169. 1950.)

Cornus sect. Bothrocaryum (Koehne) Harms ex Nakai, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 23:

36. 1909, "Brothrocaryum."

Bothrocaryum (Koehne) Pojarkova, Not. Syst. Leningrad 12: 169. 1950. (Type species: B. controversum (Hemsley) Pojarkova.)

Cornus subg. Afrocrania (Harms) Wangerin, Pflanzenreich IV. 229 (Heft 41): 76. 1910.

Cornus sect. Afrocrania Harms, Nat. Pflanzenfam. III. 8: 266. 1898. (Type species: C. Volkensii Harms.)

Afrocrania (Harms) Hutchinson, Ann. Bot. II. 6: 89. 1942. (Type species: A. Volkensii (Harms) Hutchinson.)

Cornus subg. Cornus.

Cornus sect. Cornotypus Dumortier, Florula Belgica 83. 1827. (Type species: C. nudiflora Dumortier, nomen superfluum = C. mas L.)

Cornus subg. Eukrania Rafinesque, Alsograph. Am. 59. 1838. (Lectotype species: C. mas L.)

Cornus b. Tanycrania Endlicher, Gen. Pl. 798. March, 1839.

Cornus subg. Tanycrania Endlicher ex Reichenbach, Repert. Herb. 143. 1841. (Type species: C. mas L.; see Endlicher.)

Cornus sect. Tanycrania (Endlicher ex Reichenbach) Ledebour, Fl. Rossica 2: 378. 1844.

Cornus sect. Macrocarpium Spach, Hist. Nat. Vég. 8: 101. October, 1839. (Type species: C. mascula L. = C. mas L.)

Cornus subg. Macrocarpium (Spach) Schneider, Illus. Handb. Laubholz. 2: 450. 1909.

Macrocarpium (Spach) Nakai, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 23: 38. 1909. (Type species: M. mas (L.) Nakai.)

Cornus subg. Arctocrania Endlicher ex Reichenbach, Repert. Herb. 143. 1841. (Lectotype species: C. suecica L.)

Cornus a. Arctocrania Endlicher, Gen. Pl. 798. March, 1839.

Cornus sect. Arctocrania (Endlicher ex Reichenbach) Ledebour, Fl. Rossica 2: 377. 1844, "Orctocrania."

Arctocrania (Endlicher ex Reichenbach) Nakai, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 23: 39. 1909. (Lectotype species: A. suecica (L.) Nakai.)

Chamaepericlymenum Hill, Brit. Herb. 331. 1756. (Type species: Cornus suecica L. = Chamaepericlymenum suecicum (L.) Graebner.)

Cornus sect. Cornion Spach, Hist. Nat. Vég. 8: 103. October, 1839. (Lectotype species: C. suecica L.)

Cornella Rydberg, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 33: 147. 1906. (Lectotype species: Cornella suecica (L.) Rydberg.)

Mesomora [Rudbeck] Nieuwland & Lunell in Lunell, Am. Midl. Nat. 4: 487. 1916. (Type species: M. canadensis (L.) Nieuwland ex Lunell.)

Cornus subg. Discocrania (Harms) Wangerin, Pflanzenreich IV. 229 (Heft 41): 84. 1910.

Cornus sect. Discocrania Harms, Nat. Pflanzenfam. III. 8: 266. 1898. (Lectotype species: C. disciflora Mociño & Sessé ex De Candolle.)

Cornus subg. Cynoxylon (Rafinesque) Rafinesque, Alsograph. Am. 59. 1838.

Cornus sect. Cynoxylon Rafinesque, Med. Fl. 132. 1828. (Type species: C. florida L.)

Cynoxylon (Rafinesque) Small, Fl. SE. U. S. 854. 1903. (Type species: Cynoxylon floridum (L.) Small.)

Cornus b. Tanycrania Endlicher, Gen. Pl. 798. 1839.

Cornus subg. Tanycrania Endlicher ex Reichenbach, Repert. Herb. 143. 1841. (Type species: C. florida L.; see Endlicher.)

Benthamidia Spach, Hist. Nat. Vég. 8: 92. 1839. (Type species: B. florida (L.) Spach.)

Cornus Gruppe Benthamidia (Spach) K. Koch, Dendrologie 1: 694. 1869. Cornus subg. Benthamidia (Spach) K. Koch ex Schneider, Illus. Handb. Laubholz. 2: 453. 1909. (Type species: C. florida L.)

Cornus subg. Benthamia (C. B. Clarke) Schneider, Illus. Handb. Laubholz. 2: 454. 1909.

Cornus sect. Benthamia C. B. Clarke in Hooker f. Fl. Brit. India 2: 745. 1879. (Lectotype species: C. capitata Wallich in Roxburgh.)

Benthamia Lindley, Bot. Reg. 19: tab. 1579. 1833, not Benthamia Richard, Mém. Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 4: 37. 1828. (Type species: B. fragifera Lindley = Cornus capitata Wallich in Roxburgh.)

Dendrobenthamia Hutchinson, Ann. Bot. II. 6: 92. 1942. (Type species: D. capitata (Wallich) Hutchinson.)

ARNOLD ARBORETUM
HARVARD UNIVERSITY

Present address:
FLORA EUROPAEA RESEARCH PROJECT
THE UNIVERSITY
LIVERPOOL, ENGLAND